

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE

1. CERTIFICATE NUMBER: 22-R-0069
CUSTOMER NUMBER: 185

FORM APPROVED
OMB NO. 0579-0036

KL

ANNUAL REPORT OF RESEARCH FACILITY
(TYPE OR PRINT)

Consumer Product Testing Co Inc
70 New Dutch Lane
Fairfield, NJ 07004

Telephone: (973) -808-7111

3. REPORTING FACILITY (List all locations where animals were housed or used in actual research, testing, or experimentation, or held for these purposes. Attach additional sheets if necessary)

FACILITY LOCATIONS (Sites) - See Attached Listing

REPORT OF ANIMALS USED BY OR UNDER CONTROL OF RESEARCH FACILITY (Attach additional sheets if necessary or use APHIS Form 7023A)

A. Animals Covered By The Animal Welfare Regulations	B. Number of animal being bred, conditioned, or held for use in teaching, testing, experiments, research, or surgery but not ye used for such purposes.	C. Number of animals upon which teaching, research, experiments, or tests were conducted involving no pain, distress, or use o pain-relieving drugs.	D. Number of animals upon which experiments, teaching, research, surgery, or tests were conducted involving accompanying pain or distress to the animals an for which appropriate anesthetic, analgesic, or tranquilizing drugs were used.	E. Number of animals upon which teaching, experiments, research, surgery or tests were conducted involving accompanying pain or distress to the animals and for wh the use of appropriate anesthetic, analgesic, or tranquiliz drugs would have adversely affected the procedures, res or interpretation of the teaching, research, experiments, surgery, or tests. (An explanation of the procedures producing pain or distress in these animals and the reas such drugs were not used must be attached to this report	F. TOTAL NUMBER OF ANIMALS (COLUMNS C + D + E)
4. Dogs	---				
5. Cats	---				
6. Guinea Pigs	87	1797	1	5	1803
7. Hamsters	---				
8. Rabbits	29	506	6	66	578
9. Non-human Primates	---				
10. Sheep	---				
11. Pigs	---				
12. Other Farm Animals	---				
13. Other Animals	---				

ASSURANCE STATEMENTS

- 1) Professionally acceptable standards governing the care, treatment, and use of animals, including appropriate use of anesthetic, analgesic, and tranquilizing drugs, prior to, during, and following actual research, testing, surgery, or experimentation were followed by this research facility.
- 2) Each principal investigator has considered alternatives to painful procedures.
- 3) This facility is adhering to the standards and regulations under the Act, and it has required that exceptions to the standards and regulations be specified and explained by the principal investigator and an Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). A summary of all such exceptions is attached to this annual report. In addition to identifying the IACUC-approved exceptions, this summary includes a brief explanation of the exceptions, as well as the species and number of animals affected.
- 4) The attending veterinarian for this research facility has appropriate authority to ensure the provision of adequate veterinary care and to oversee the adequacy of other aspects of animal care and use.

CERTIFICATION BY HEADQUARTERS RESEARCH FACILITY OFFICIAL
(Chief Executive Officer or Legally Responsible Institutional Official)

SIGNATURE OF CEO OR INSTITUTIONAL OFFICIAL

NAME & TITLE OF CEO OR INSTITUTIONAL OFFICIAL (TYPE OR PRINT)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(c)

DATE SIGNED

11/26/07

QAN

November 26, 2007

Facility Registration Number: 22-R-0069

The animals listed in Column E of APHIS Form 7023 included 5 guinea pigs and 66 rabbits. Sixty one of the rabbits were used on primary ocular irritation studies. The remaining five rabbits were used on primary dermal irritation studies. These studies are used to determine the dermal or ocular irritation potential of the articles tested. In all 66 cases, the animals in question exhibited a maximum irritation score but did not exhibit behavioral responses normally associated with more than momentary pain or distress.

The 5 guinea pigs were used on sensitization studies. These studies were used to determine the sensitization potential of the products tested. In all 5 cases, the animals in question exhibited a maximum irritation score but did not exhibit behavioral responses normally associated with more than momentary pain or distress.

The sponsors of these studies had indicated that the use of anesthetics or analgesics might have interfered with the interpretation of the test results.

As a contract facility, we are not always aware of the nature of the articles being tested and rely upon our sponsors to responsibly determine the appropriateness of the use of anesthetics and/or analgesics.

At the USDA's suggestion, we have included in Column E animals exhibiting maximum irritation scores in the above mentioned study types but not necessarily having exhibited behavioral responses normally associated with pain or distress.

During this past year we have revised our SOP's so that any animal exhibiting more than momentary pain or distress and/or a maximum irritation score will either be humanely euthanized or administered an appropriate analgesic. Our sponsors will have to designate a proposed course of action prior to their testing being initiated.

■